arXiv:math/9901061v1 [math.QA] 15 Jan 1999

On the Comultiplication in Quantum Affine Algebras

Jesper Thorén

Abstract

We express the comultiplication of the generators in Drinfelds second realization of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$, induced by the comultiplication of the generators in the Drinfeld-Jimbo realization of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ in terms of generating functions. Then we find explicit expressions for the comultiplication of the generators.

Introduction

In [8], V.G. Drinfeld gave a new set of generators and relations for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ (and also for the Yangian). He also gave an isomorphism between the two realizations, but there was no proof in that article. In [1], J. Beck found these new generators inside $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, and proved that they satisfy the relations given by Drinfeld. He also proved that the two realizations were isomorphic as Hopf algebras, and an explicit isomorphism was given in that article. Beck also gave new formulas satisfied by the comultiplication, but no explicit expressions for the comultiplication of the generators were found. Another comultiplication was found by Drinfeld in an unpublished paper, see [5], [6], but this new comultiplication has values in an extension of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, and do not coincide with the comultiplication induced by the isomorphism given by Beck in [1]. Its advantage over the induced comultiplication is that it is much easier to work with (cf. [10], [6]).

In this paper we find the comultiplication of the generators in Drinfelds new realization of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$, using Beck's isomorphism (see [12] for the corresponding case for the Yangian associated to $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$).

The paper is organized as follows: Section 1 contains the definition of the algebras $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ and $\hat{U}_q$. We define certain generating functions, $X_0^{\pm}(z)$, $Y_0^{\pm}(z)$, and some further relations involving the generators of $\hat{U}_q$ are found.

In Section 2, the main theorem is Theorem 2.1, where we give relations satisfied by the comultiplication of the generators of the algebra $\hat{U}_q$. The result is written in terms of the generating functions defined in Section 1.

Finally, in Section 3, we first study powers of the generating functions $X_0^+(z)$, and $Y_0^+(z)$. One important result of this section is Theorem 3.2, which shows us how to express such a power as a sum of PBW-ordered monomials. Then we deduce, from this theorem and from Theorem 2.1, explicit formulae for the comultiplication of the generators.

Acknowledgement. I thank Prof. A. Meurman for helpful discussions.

1 Relations in $\hat{U}_q$.

In this section we define the isomorphic algebras $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ and $\hat{U}_q$. Then we find and prove some new relations in $\hat{U}_q$, and express them in terms of generating functions.

Let q be an indeterminate. For integers $n, r,\ n \geq r \geq 0$, define

$$[n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}], \quad [n]! = [n][n-1]\cdots[1],$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[n]!}{[n-r]![r]!} \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}].$$

It is also convenient to define $[0]! = 1$.

The following definition is due to Drinfeld [7] and Jimbo [9] (note that, as in [1], we have added the square root of $k_0 k_1$).

Definition 1.1. *The Hopf algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}(q)$ with generators $e_i^\pm, k_i^{\pm 1}, (i \in \{0, 1\}), c^{\pm 1/2}$, and with defining relations*

$$\begin{array}{rl}
(c^{\pm 1/2})^2 = (k_0 k_1)^{\pm 1}, & c^{1/2} \ \textit{is} \ \ \textit{central}, \\
k_i k_i^{-1} = k_i^{-1} k_i = 1, & k_i k_j = k_j k_i, \\
k_i e_i^\pm k_i^{-1} = q^{\pm 2} e_i^\pm, & k_i e_j^\pm k_i^{-1} = q^{\mp 2} e_j^\pm, \ i \neq j,
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_i^+, e_j^-] &= \delta_{ij} \frac{k_i - k_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}, \\
\sum_{r=0}^{3} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ r \end{bmatrix} (e_i^\pm)^r e_j^\pm (e_i^\pm)^{3-r} &= 0, \ i \neq j.
\end{aligned}$$

The comultiplication of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(e_i^+) &= e_i^+ \otimes k_i + 1 \otimes e_i^+, \\
\Delta(e_i^-) &= e_i^- \otimes 1 + k_i^{-1} \otimes e_i^-, \\
\Delta(k_i) &= k_i \otimes k_i, \\
\Delta(c^{\pm 1/2}) &= c^{\pm 1/2} \otimes c^{\pm 1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In [8], V.G. Drinfeld gave a new set of generators and relations for $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. We formulate this new version of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ in the following proposition. See J. Beck, [1], for a proof.

Let z be an indeterminate.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $\hat{U}_q$ be the $\mathbb{C}(q)$-algebra generated by*

$$x_n,\ y_n,\ (n \in \mathbb{Z}),\ h_k,\ (k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}),\ K^{\pm 1},\ c^{\pm 1/2},$$

and with the following defining relations

$$\begin{aligned}
KK^{-1} = 1, &\qquad K^{-1}K = 1, && (1)\\
c^{1/2}c^{-1/2} = c^{-1/2}c^{1/2} = 1, &\qquad c^{1/2} \text{ is central}, && (2)\\
[h_m, h_n] &= \delta_{m,-n}\frac{[2m]}{m}\frac{c^m - c^{-m}}{q - q^{-1}}, && (3)\\
Kh_m &= h_m K, && (4)\\
Kx_mK^{-1} = q^2 x_m, &\qquad Ky_mK^{-1} = q^{-2}y_m, && (5)\\
[h_m, x_n] &= \frac{[2m]}{m}c^{-|m|/2}x_{m+n}, && (6)\\
[h_m, y_n] &= -\frac{[2m]}{m}c^{|m|/2}y_{m+n}, && (7)\\
x_{m+1}x_n - q^2x_nx_{m+1} &= q^2x_mx_{n+1} - x_{n+1}x_m, && (8)\\
y_{m+1}y_n - q^{-2}y_ny_{m+1} &= q^{-2}y_my_{n+1} - y_{n+1}y_m, && (9)\\
[x_m, y_n] &= \frac{c^{(m-n)/2}\psi_{m+n} - c^{-(m-n)/2}\phi_{m+n}}{q - q^{-1}}, && (10)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have set $\psi_{-m} = 0,\ \phi_m = 0,\ m > 0$, and where ψ_m, and $\phi_{-m},\ m \in \mathbb{N}$ are given by

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\psi_m z^{-m} = K\exp\Big((q - q^{-1})\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}h_m z^{-m}\Big),$$

and

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\phi_{-m} z^{m} = K^{-1}\exp\Big(-(q - q^{-1})\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}h_{-m} z^{m}\Big),$$

Then $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is isomorphic to $\hat{U}_q$, and the isomorphism is given by

$$c^{\pm 1/2} \mapsto c^{\pm 1/2}, \quad k_0 \mapsto cK^{-1}, \quad k_1 \mapsto K,$$

$$e_1^+ \mapsto x_0, \quad e_1^- \mapsto y_0,$$

$$e_0^+ \mapsto y_1K^{-1}, \quad e_0^- \mapsto Kx_{-1}.$$

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the $\mathbb{C}(q)$-subalgebra of $\hat{U}_q$ generated by the

$$x_n,\ (n \in \mathbb{Z}),\ K^{\pm 1},\ c^{\pm 1/2},\ h_k,\ (k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}),$$

and let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the $\mathbb{C}(q)$-subalgebra of $\hat{U}_q$ generated by the

$$y_n,\ (n \in \mathbb{Z}),\ K^{\pm 1},\ c^{\pm 1/2},\ h_k,\ (k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}).$$

Then it is easy to prove the following proposition, using Proposition 1.2.

Proposition 1.3. *There exist $\mathbb{C}(q)$-algebra automorphisms $S : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ and $T : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Y}$, given by*

$$S : x_n \mapsto x_{n+1},\ h_k \mapsto h_k,\ c^{\pm 1/2} \mapsto c^{\pm 1/2},\ K \mapsto K,$$

and

$$T : y_n \mapsto y_{n+1},\ h_k \mapsto h_k,\ c^{\pm 1/2} \mapsto c^{\pm 1/2},\ K \mapsto K,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z},\ k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

As in [2], [4] we have the following

Proposition 1.4. *(a). There exists a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra antiautomorphism $\alpha : \hat{U}_q \to \hat{U}_q$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z},\ k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$,*

$$\alpha : q \mapsto q^{-1},\ c^{1/2} \mapsto c^{-1/2},\ x_n \mapsto y_{-n},\ y_n \mapsto x_{-n},$$

$$\psi_n \mapsto \phi_{-n},\ \phi_n \mapsto \psi_{-n},\ h_k \mapsto h_{-k}.$$

Moreover, $\alpha \otimes \alpha \circ \tau \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ \alpha$, where τ is the flip map given by $a \otimes b \mapsto b \otimes a$ on $\hat{U}_q \otimes \hat{U}_q$.
(b). There exists a $\mathbb{C}(q)$-algebra antiautomorphism $\beta : \hat{U}_q \to \hat{U}_q$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z},\ k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\beta : c^{1/2} \mapsto c^{-1/2},\ x_n \mapsto y_n,\ y_n \mapsto x_n,$$

$$\psi_n \mapsto \psi_n,\ \phi_n \mapsto \phi_n,\ h_k \mapsto h_k.$$

Proof. This is easy to check, using Proposition 1.2. □

For z an indeterminate, define the generating functions

$$X^+(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} x_k z^{-k}, \qquad X_0^+(z) = x_0 + X^+(z), \tag{11}$$

$$X^-(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} x_{-k} z^{k}, \qquad X_0^-(z) = x_0 + X^-(z), \tag{12}$$

$$Y^+(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} y_k z^{-k}, \qquad Y_0^+(z) = y_0 + Y^+(z), \tag{13}$$

$$Y^-(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} y_{-k} z^{k}, \qquad Y_0^-(z) = y_0 + Y^-(z). \tag{14}$$

We set

$$X(z) = X_0^+(z) + X^-(z), \quad Y(z) = Y_0^+(z) + Y^-(z). \tag{15}$$

We also define

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \psi_k z^{-k}, \qquad \Phi(z) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \phi_{-k} z^k. \tag{16}$$

We will extend the maps α and β linearly to $\hat{U}_q[[z, z^{-1}]]$, so that we can consider relations of the type

$$\alpha(Y^+(q^2cz^{-1})) = X^-(q^2cz),$$
$$\alpha(\Psi(c^{3/2}z^{-1})) = \Phi(c^{3/2}z).$$

In Section 2 we will express the comultiplication of the generators $x_k,\ y_k,\ \psi_k,\ \phi_k$, in terms of the series above, and for that we will need some further relations in the algebra $\hat{U}_q$. We state them in the following lemma.

Lemma 1.5. *For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
[h_1, X_0^+(z)^n] &= [2](\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{-2k}) z c^{-1/2}(X_0^+(z)^n - x_0 X_0^+(z)^{n-1}); && (17)\\
[h_1, Y^+(z)^n] &= -[2](\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{-2k}) c^{1/2}(zY^+(z)^n - Y^+(z)^{n-1}y_1); && (18)\\
X_0^+(z)^n x_0 &= q^{-2n} x_0 X_0^+(z)^n + (1 - q^{-2n}) X_0^+(z)^{n+1}; && (19)\\
X^+(z)^n x_0 &= q^{2n} x_0 X^+(z)^n - (1 - q^{2n}) X^+(z)^{n+1}; && (20)\\
y_1 Y^+(z)^n &= q^{-2n} Y^+(z)^n y_1 + (1 - q^{-2n}) z Y^+(z)^{n+1}; && (21)\\
y_0 Y^+(z)^n &= q^{2n} Y^+(z)^n y_0 - (1 - q^{2n}) Y^+(z)^{n+1}; && (22)\\
x_0 \Psi(z) &= q^2 \Psi(z) x_0 - (q^2 - q^{-2}) \Psi(z) X_0^+(q^2 c^{1/2} z); && (23)\\
\Psi(z) y_1 &= q^2 y_1 \Psi(z) + (1 - q^4) z c^{-1/2} Y^+(q^2 c^{-1/2} z) \Psi(z); && (24)\\
[X_0^+(z)^n, y_0] &= (q - q^{-1})^{-1} q^{n-1} [n] (q^{-2n+2} \Psi(c^{-1/2} z) X_0^+(q^2 z)^{n-1} \\
&\quad - K^{-1} X_0^+(z)^{n-1}); && (25)\\
[Y^+(z), x_0] &= -(q - q^{-1})^{-1} (\Psi(c^{1/2} z) - K); && (26)\\
[X_0^+(z), y_1] &= (q - q^{-1})^{-1} z c^{-1} (\Psi(c^{-1/2} z) - K). && (27)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We will prove (19) first. Write

$$[x, y]_{q^2} = xy - q^2 yx.$$

Suppose that $n = 1$. Proposition 1.2 (8) gives the relation

$$[x_{k+1}, x_l]_{q^2} = q^2 [x_k, x_{l+1}]_{q^2} + (q^4 - 1) x_{l+1} x_k.$$

Multiplying both sides of this relation with z^{-k-l-1} and summing over $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ gives

$$[X_0^+(z) - x_0, X_0^+(z)]_{q^2} = q^2[X_0^+(z), X_0^+(z) - x_0]_{q^2} + (q^4 - 1)(X_0^+(z)^2 - x_0X_0^+(z)),$$

which is equivalent to

$$[x_0, X_0^+(z)]_{q^2} = (1 - q^2)X_0^+(z)^2,$$

so that

$$X_0^+(z)x_0 = q^{-2}x_0X_0^+(z) + (1 - q^{-2})X_0^+(z)^2.$$

So (19) is true for $n = 1$. Now suppose, by induction, that (19) is true for $n - 1 \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
X_0^+(z)^n x_0 &= X_0^+(z)(X_0^+(z)^{n-1}x_0) \\
&= X_0^+(z)\Big(q^{-2n+2}x_0X_0^+(z)^{n-1} + (1 - q^{-2n+2})X_0^+(z)^n\Big) \\
&= q^{-2n}x_0X_0^+(z)^n + (q^{-2n+2} - q^{-2n})X_0^+(z)^{n+1} + (1 - q^{-2n+2})X_0^+(z)^{n+1} \\
&= q^{-2n}x_0X_0^+(z)^n + (1 - q^{-2n})X_0^+(z)^{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So (19) holds, by induction, for $n \geq 1$. Now, (20) can be proved similarly, and (21) follows after applying the antiautomorphism β from Proposition 1.4 on (19), and then the automorphism T from Proposition 1.3 on the image of β. For (22), use β on (20).

We can now prove (17) by induction over n. First consider the case $n = 1$. By Proposition 1.2 (6) we have that

$$[h_1, \sum_{k\geq 0} x_k z^{-k}] = [2]c^{-1/2}\sum_{k\geq 0} x_{k+1}z^{-k}.$$

So

$$[h_1, X_0^+(z)] = [2]c^{-1/2}z(X_0^+(z) - x_0),$$

which proves (17) when $n = 1$. Now suppose (17) is true for $n - 1 \geq 1$. Then, by induction,

$$\begin{aligned}
[h_1, X_0^+(z)^n] &= [h_1, X_0^+(z)]X_0^+(z)^{n-1} + X_0^+(z)[h_1, X_0^+(z)^{n-1}] \\
&= [2]c^{-1/2}z\Big((X_0^+(z)^n - x_0X_0^+(z)^{n-1}) \\
&\qquad +(\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} q^{-2k})(X_0^+(z)^n - X_0^+(z)x_0X_0^+(z)^{n-2})\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

By (19) we get

$$[h_1, X_0^+(z)^n] = [2]zc^{-1/2}\Big(X_0^+(z)^n - x_0X_0^+(z)^{n-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\quad +(\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} q^{-2k})(X_0^+(z)^n - q^{-2}x_0X_0^+(z)^{n-1} \\
&\quad -(1-q^{-2})X_0^+(z)^n)\Big) \\
&= [2]zc^{-1/2}\Big((1+\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} q^{-2k-2})X_0^+(z)^n \\
&\quad -(1+\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} q^{-2k-2})x_0X_0^+(z)^{n-1}\Big) \\
&= [2](\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{-2k})zc^{-1/2}\Big(X_0^+(z)^n - x_0X_0^+(z)^{n-1}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

The relation (17) follows by induction. Now (18) follows by applying β on (17).

We now intend to prove (23). Let w be another indeterminate, commuting with z. Recall the notation (15). As in [8] we have the relation

$$X(w)\Psi(z) = \frac{zc^{1/2} - q^2w}{q^2zc^{1/2} - w}\Psi(z)X(w), \tag{28}$$

where the rational function in the right hand side of (28) is expanded into a series with nonnegative powers of w. Note that the relation (28) follows from the relations in Proposition 1.2.

Thus, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
X(w)\Psi(z) &= \Psi(z)(q^{-2} - wz^{-1}c^{-1/2})\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{m\geq 0} q^{-2m}c^{-m/2}x_kw^{m-k}z^{-m} \\
&= \Psi(z)\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{m\geq 0} q^{-2m-2}c^{-m/2}x_kw^{m-k}z^{-m} \\
&\quad -\Psi(z)\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{m\geq 0} q^{-2m}c^{-m/2-1/2}x_kw^{m-k+1}z^{-m-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of w^0 is

$$\begin{aligned}
x_0\Psi(z) &= \Psi(z)\sum_{m\geq 0} q^{-2m-2}c^{-m/2}x_mz^{-m} - \Psi(z)\sum_{m\geq 0} q^{-2m}c^{-m/2-1/2}x_{m+1}z^{-m-1} \\
&= q^{-2}\Psi(z)X_0^+(q^2c^{1/2}z) - q^2\Psi(z)\Big(X_0^+(q^2c^{1/2}z) - x_0\Big) \\
&= q^2\Psi(z)x_0 - (q^2 - q^{-2})\Psi(z)X_0^+(q^2c^{1/2}z),
\end{aligned}$$

and this proves (23). Now (24) follows from (23) by first applying β, then T.

We will now prove (25) by induction over $n \geq 1$. By Proposition 1.2 (10), we have

$$[\sum_{k\geq 0} x_k z^{-k}, y_0] = (q-q^{-1})^{-1}(\sum_{k\geq 0} c^{k/2}\psi_k z^{-k} - K^{-1}),$$

that is,

$$[X_0^+(z), y_0] = (q-q^{-1})^{-1}(\Psi(c^{-1/2}z) - K^{-1}),$$

which is (25) when $n = 1$. Now suppose, by induction, that (25) is true for some $n-1 \geq 1$. Then, by using (19), (23), and the induction hypothesis, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-q^{-2n+2})[X_0^+(z)^n, y_0] \\
&\quad = \quad [X_0^+(z)^{n-1}x_0, y_0] - q^{-2n+2}[x_0 X_0^+(z)^{n-1}, y_0] \\
&\quad = \quad [X_0^+(z)^{n-1}, y_0]x_0 + X_0^+(z)^{n-1}[x_0, y_0] \\
&\qquad\qquad -q^{-2n+2}[x_0, y_0]X_0^+(z)^{n-1} - q^{-2n+2}x_0[X_0^+(z)^{n-1}, y_0] \\
&\quad = \quad (q-q^{-1})^{-1}\Bigg(q^{n-2}[n-1]\Big(q^{-2n+4}\Psi(c^{-1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2z)^{n-2} - K^{-1}X_0^+(z)^{n-2}\Big)x_0 \\
&\qquad\qquad +X_0^+(z)^{n-1}(K-K^{-1}) - q^{-2n+2}(K-K^{-1})X_0^+(z)^{n-1} \\
&\qquad\qquad -q^{-2n+2}q^{n-2}[n-1]x_0\Big(q^{-2n+4}\Psi(c^{-1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2z)^{n-2} - K^{-1}X_0^+(z)^{n-2}\Big)\Bigg) \\
&\quad = \quad (q-q^{-1})^{-1}\Big(q^{-n+2}[n-1]\Psi(c^{-1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2z)^{n-2}x_0 \\
&\qquad\qquad -q^{n-2}[n-1]K^{-1}X_0^+(z)^{n-2}x_0 + (q^{-2n+2}-q^{2n-2})K^{-1}X_0^+(z)^{n-1} \\
&\qquad\qquad -q^{-3n+4}[n-1]x_0\Psi(c^{-1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2z)^{n-2} + q^{-n+2}[n-1]K^{-1}x_0X_0^+(z)^{n-2}\Big) \\
&\quad = \quad (q-q^{-1})^{-1}\Bigg(q^{-n+2}[n-1]\Psi(c^{-1/2}z)\Big(q^{-2n+4}x_0X_0^+(q^2z)^{n-2} \\
&\qquad\qquad +(1-q^{-2n+4})X_0^+(q^2z)^{n-1}\Big) - q^{n-2}[n-1]K^{-1}\Big(q^{-2n+4}x_0X_0^+(z)^{n-2} \\
&\qquad\qquad +(1-q^{-2n+4})X_0^+(z)^{n-1}\Big) + (q^{-2n+2}-q^{2n-2})K^{-1}X_0^+(z)^{n-1} \\
&\qquad\qquad -q^{-3n+4}[n-1]\Big(q^2\Psi(c^{-1/2}z)x_0 - (q^2-q^{-2})\Psi(c^{-1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2z)\Big)X_0^+(q^2z)^{n-2} \\
&\qquad\qquad +q^{-n+2}[n-1]K^{-1}x_0X_0^+(z)^{n-2}\Bigg) \\
&\quad = \quad (q-q^{-1})^{-1}\Bigg(\Big(q^{-n+2}(1-q^{-2n+4})[n-1] \\
&\qquad\qquad +q^{-3n+4}(q^2-q^{-2})[n-1]\Big)\Psi(c^{-1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2z)^{n-1} \\
&\qquad\qquad +\Big(-q^{n-2}(1-q^{-2n+4})[n-1] + (q^{-2n+2}-q^{2n-2})\Big)K^{-1}X_0^+(z)^{n-1}\Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (q-q^{-1})^{-1}\Big((q^{-n+2}-q^{-3n+2})[n-1]\Psi(c^{-1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2z)^{n-1}\\
&\quad -q^{n-1}(1-q^{-2n+2})[n]K^{-1}X_0^+(z)^{n-1}\Big)\\
&= (q-q^{-1})^{-1}\Big(q^2q^{-2n}(q^n-q^{-n})[n-1]\Psi(c^{-1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2z)^{n-1}\\
&\quad -q^{n-1}(1-q^{-2n+2})[n]K^{-1}X_0^+(z)^{n-1}\Big)\\
&= (q-q^{-1})^{-1}q^{n-1}(1-q^{-2n+2})[n]\Big(q^{-2n+2}\Psi(c^{-1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2z)^{n-1}-K^{-1}X_0^+(z)^{n-1}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

and (25) follows.

Finally, (26) and (27) follows from

$$[\sum_{k\geq 1} y_k z^{-k}, x_0] = -(q-q^{-1})^{-1}\sum_{k\geq 1} c^{-k/2}\psi_k z^{-k},$$

and

$$[\sum_{k\geq 0} x_k z^{-k}, y_1] = (q-q^{-1})^{-1}zc^{-1}\sum_{k\geq 1} c^{k/2}\psi_k z^{-k},$$

respectively.

This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

2 The comultiplication

The main goal of this section is to formulate and prove Theorem 2.1. For this we will use the generating functions defined in (11)-(16).

Using Proposition 1.2, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(c^{\pm 1/2}) &= c^{\pm 1/2}\otimes c^{\pm 1/2},\\
\Delta(K^{\pm 1}) &= K^{\pm 1}\otimes K^{\pm 1}\\
\Delta(x_0) &= x_0\otimes K + 1\otimes x_0,\\
\Delta(y_0) &= y_0\otimes 1 + K^{-1}\otimes y_0,\\
\Delta(x_{-1}) &= x_{-1}\otimes K^{-1} + c^{-1}\otimes x_{-1},\\
\Delta(y_1) &= y_1\otimes c + K\otimes y_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$h_1 = (q-q^{-1})^{-1}K^{-1}\psi_1,$$

by Proposition 1.2, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(h_1) &= \Delta(c^{1/2}K^{-1})[\Delta(x_0),\Delta(y_1)]\\
&= h_1\otimes c^{3/2} + c^{1/2}\otimes h_1 - (q^2-q^{-2})c^{1/2}x_0\otimes c^{1/2}y_1.
\end{aligned}$$

We can now prove

Theorem 2.1. *With notations as in (11)-(16), the comultiplication in $\hat{U}_q$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k\geq 0}\Delta(x_k)(zc\otimes c^2)^{-k}\\
&= 1\otimes X_0^+(c^2z)+\sum_{n\geq 0}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z); \quad (29)\\
&\sum_{k\geq 1}\Delta(x_{-k})(zc\otimes 1)^{k}\\
&= 1\otimes X^-(z)+\sum_{n\geq 0}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n X^-(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y_0^-(q^2cz)^n\Phi(c^{1/2}z); \quad (30)\\
&\sum_{k\geq 1}\Delta(y_k)(z\otimes c)^{-k}\\
&= Y^+(z)\otimes 1+\sum_{n\geq 0}(-q^{-1}(q-q^{-1})^2)^n \Psi(c^{1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2cz)^{n}\otimes Y^+(cz)^{n+1}; \quad (31)\\
&\sum_{k\geq 0}\Delta(y_{-k})(zc^2\otimes c)^{k}\\
&= Y_0^-(c^2z)\otimes 1+\sum_{n\geq 0}(-q^{-1}(q-q^{-1})^2)^n \Phi(c^{3/2}z)X^-(q^2cz)^{n}\otimes Y_0^-(cz)^{n+1}; \quad (32)\\
&\sum_{k\geq 0}\Delta(\psi_k)(zc^{1/2}\otimes c^{3/2})^{-k}\\
&= \sum_{n\geq 0}(-1)^n(q-q^{-1})^{2n}[n+1]\Psi(c^{1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2cz)^n\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z); \quad (33)\\
&\sum_{k\geq 0}\Delta(\phi_{-k})(zc^{3/2}\otimes c^{1/2})^{k}\\
&= \sum_{n\geq 0}(-1)^n(q-q^{-1})^{2n}[n+1]\Phi(c^{3/2}z)X^-(q^2cz)^n\otimes Y_0^-(q^2cz)^n\Phi(c^{1/2}z). \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We start to prove (29). From Proposition 1.2 we get the relation

$$[h_1, x_k] = [2]c^{-1/2}x_{k+1}.$$

This relation implies the functional equation

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\Delta(h_1), \sum_{k\geq 0}\Delta(x_k)(zc\otimes c^2)^{-k}]\\
&= [2]z(c^{1/2}\otimes c^{3/2})\Big(\sum_{k\geq 0}\Delta(x_k)(zc\otimes c^2)^{-k}-\Delta(x_0)\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., the left hand side of (29) is a solution of the equation

$$[\Delta(h_1), F(z)] = [2]z(c^{1/2}\otimes c^{3/2})(F(z)-\Delta(x_0)), \quad (35)$$

where

$$F(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_k z^{-k},\ f_0 = \Delta(x_0).$$

By uniqueness of solutions of functional equations with initial values, it is enough to show that the right hand side of (29) also is a solution of (35).

First we calculate the two expressions

$$[\Delta(h_1), 1 \otimes X_0^+(c^2 z) + X_0^+(cz) \otimes \Psi(c^{3/2} z)],$$

and

$$[\Delta(h_1), \sum_{n \geq 1} (-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n X_0^+(cz)^{n+1} \otimes Y^+(q^2 cz)^n \Psi(c^{3/2} z)],$$

where

$$\Delta(h_1) = h_1 \otimes c^{3/2} + c^{1/2} \otimes h_1 - (q^2 - q^{-2}) c^{1/2} x_0 \otimes c^{1/2} y_1.$$

After that, we add the results and see that (35) is satisfied.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[h_1 \otimes c^{3/2}, 1 \otimes X_0^+(c^2 z) + X_0^+(cz) \otimes \Psi(c^{3/2} z)] \\
&\quad = \ 0 + [h_1, X_0^+(cz)] \otimes c^{3/2} \Psi(c^{3/2} z) \\
&\quad = \ [2](zc^{1/2} \otimes c^{3/2})(X_0^+(cz) - x_0) \otimes \Psi(c^{3/2} z),
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1.5 (17) (with z replaced by zc), and

$$\begin{aligned}
&[c^{1/2} \otimes h_1, 1 \otimes X_0^+(c^2 z) + X_0^+(cz) \otimes \Psi(c^{3/2} z)] \\
&\quad = \ [2](zc^{1/2} \otimes c^{3/2}) 1 \otimes (X_0^+(c^2 z) - x_0),
\end{aligned}$$

again by Lemma 1.5 (17).

Further, by Lemma 1.5 (27), (19) and (24),

$$\begin{aligned}
&[x_0 \otimes y_1, 1 \otimes X_0^+(c^2 z) + X_0^+(cz) \otimes \Psi(c^{3/2} z)] \\
&\quad = \ -(q-q^{-1})^{-1} z x_0 \otimes c(\Psi(c^{3/2} z) - K) + x_0 X_0^+(cz) \otimes y_1 \Psi(c^{3/2} z) \\
&\qquad -\Big(q^{-2} x_0 X_0^+(cz) + (1-q^{-2}) X_0^+(cz)^2\Big) \\
&\qquad \otimes \Big(q^2 y_1 \Psi(c^{3/2} z) + (1-q^4) zc Y^+(q^2 cz) \Psi(c^{3/2} z)\Big) \\
&\quad = \ -(q-q^{-1})^{-1} z x_0 \otimes c \Psi(c^{3/2} z) + (q-q^{-1})^{-1} z x_0 \otimes cK \\
&\qquad -q^{-2}(1-q^4) z x_0 X_0^+(cz) \otimes c Y^+(q^2 cz) \Psi(c^{3/2} z) \\
&\qquad -q^2(1-q^{-2}) X_0^+(cz)^2 \otimes y_1 \Psi(c^{3/2} z) \\
&\qquad -(1-q^{-2})(1-q^4) z X_0^+(cz)^2 \otimes c Y^+(q^2 cz) \Psi(c^{3/2} z).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[h_1 \otimes c^{3/2} + c^{1/2} \otimes h_1 \\
&\quad - (q^2 - q^{-2})c^{1/2}x_0 \otimes c^{1/2}y_1, 1 \otimes X_0^+(c^2z) + X_0^+(cz) \otimes \Psi(c^{3/2}z)] \\
&\quad = \ [2]z(c^{1/2} \otimes c^{3/2})\Big(1 \otimes X_0^+(c^2z) + X_0^+(cz) \otimes \Psi(c^{3/2}z) - x_0 \otimes K - 1 \otimes x_0\Big) \\
&\qquad -[2]z(c^{1/2} \otimes c^{3/2})(q-q^{-1})^2(q+q^{-1})x_0X_0^+(cz) \otimes Y^+(q^2cz)\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
&\qquad +[2](c^{1/2} \otimes c^{1/2})q(q-q^{-1})^2X_0^+(cz)^2 \otimes y_1\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
&\qquad -[2]z(c^{1/2} \otimes c^{3/2})(q-q^{-1})^3(1+q^2)X_0^+(cz)^2 \otimes Y^+(q^2cz)\Psi(c^{3/2}z). \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

We now calculate

$$[\Delta(h_1), \sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n X_0^+(cz)^{n+1} \otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z)].$$

By Lemma 1.5 (17), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[h_1 \otimes c^{3/2}, \sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n X_0^+(cz)^{n+1} \otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z)] \\
&\quad = \ [2]z(c^{1/2} \otimes c^{3/2}) \\
&\qquad \times\Big(\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n(\sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{-2k})X_0^+(cz)^{n+1} \otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
&\qquad -\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n(\sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{-2k})x_0X_0^+(cz)^{n} \otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z)\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

and, by Lemma 1.5 (18), that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[c^{1/2} \otimes h_1, \sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n X_0^+(cz)^{n+1} \otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z)] \\
&\quad = \ [2](c^{1/2} \otimes c^{1/2}) \\
&\qquad \times\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{-2k})X_0^+(cz)^{n+1} \otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^{n-1}y_1\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
&\qquad -[2]z(c^{1/2} \otimes c^{3/2}) \\
&\qquad \times\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n q^2(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{-2k})X_0^+(cz)^{n+1} \otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z).
\end{aligned}$$

Further, by Lemma 1.5 (21), (19) and (24),

$$[x_0 \otimes y_1, \sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n X_0^+(cz)^{n+1} \otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z)]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= \ & \sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n x_0 X_0^+(cz)^{n+1} \\
& \otimes\Big(q^{-2n}Y^+(q^2cz)^n y_1 + (1-q^{-2n})q^2zcY^+(q^2cz)^{n+1}\Big)\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
& -\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n\Big(q^{-2n-2}x_0X_0^+(cz)^{n+1} + (1-q^{-2n-2})X_0^+(cz)^{n+2}\Big) \\
& \otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Big(q^2y_1\Psi(c^{3/2}z) + (1-q^4)zcY^+(q^2cz)\Psi(c^{3/2}z)\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

We will write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& [\Delta(h_1), \sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z)] \\
& \quad = \ [2]z(c^{1/2}\otimes c^{3/2})(F(z)+G(z)) + [2](c^{1/2}\otimes c^{1/2})H(z), \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F(z),\ G(z)$ and $H(z)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(z) \ = \ & \sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n(\sum_{k=0}^{n}q^{-2k})X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
& -\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n q^2(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}q^{-2k})X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
& -\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n(q-q^{-1})^2(q+q^{-1})q^2(1-q^{-2n-2}) \\
& \quad X_0^+(cz)^{n+2}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^{n+1}\Psi(c^{3/2}z), \\
G(z) \ = \ & -\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n(\sum_{k=0}^{n}q^{-2k})x_0X_0^+(cz)^n\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
& -\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n(1-q^{-2n})q^2(q-q^{-1}) \\
& \quad x_0X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^{n+1}\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
& +\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n(q-q^{-1})(1-q^4)q^{-2n-2} \\
& \quad x_0X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^{n+1}\Psi(c^{3/2}z), \\
H(z) \ = \ & \sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}q^{-2k})X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^{n-1}y_1\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
& +\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n(1-q^{-2n-2})q^2(q-q^{-1}) \\
& \quad X_0^+(cz)^{n+2}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n y_1\Psi(c^{3/2}z).
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider the series $F(z),\ G(z)$ and $H(z)$ separately.

First,

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= -q(q-q^{-1})^2(1+q^{-2}-q^2)X_0^+(cz)^2\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\ &\quad +\sum_{n\geq 2}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n}q^{-2k}-\sum_{k=-1}^{n-2}q^{-2k}+(q^2+1)(1-q^{-2n})\Big) \\ &\quad X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\ &= (q-q^{-1})^3(1+q^2)X_0^+(cz)^2\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\ &\quad +\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^nX_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z). \end{aligned}$$

We then have,

$$\begin{aligned} G(z) &= -(1+q^{-2})(-q)(q-q^{-1})^2x_0X_0^+(cz)\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\ &\quad +\sum_{n\geq 2}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n\Big(-\sum_{k=0}^{n}q^{-2k}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-2}q^{-2k}+(1+q^2)q^{-2n}\Big) \\ &\quad x_0X_0^+(cz)^n\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\ &= (q-q^{-1})^2(q+q^{-1})x_0X_0^+(cz)\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)\Psi(c^{3/2}z). \end{aligned}$$

We finally have that

$$\begin{aligned} H(z) &= -q(q-q^{-1})^2X_0^+(cz)^2\otimes y_1\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\ &\quad +\sum_{n\geq 2}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}q^{-2k}-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}q^{-2k}\Big) \\ &\quad X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^{n-1}y_1\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\ &= -q(q-q^{-1})^2X_0^+(cz)^2\otimes y_1\Psi(c^{3/2}z). \end{aligned}$$

Now combining (36) and (37) with the expressions $F(z),\ G(z)$ and $H(z)$ above, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &[\Delta(h_1), 1\otimes X_0^+(c^2z)+X_0^+(cz)\otimes\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\ &\quad +\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^nX_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z)] \\ &\quad = [2](zc^{1/2}\otimes zc^{3/2}) \\ &\qquad \Big(1\otimes X_0^+(c^2z)+X_0^+(cz)\otimes\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\ &\qquad +\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^nX_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\ &\qquad -x_0\otimes K-1\otimes x_0\Big), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (29).

Now, the relation (32) follows by using

$$\alpha \otimes \alpha \circ \tau \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ \alpha,$$

from Proposition 1.4. The relation (31) is proved as (29), by showing that both sides of (31) is a solution of the functional equation

$$[F(z), \Delta(h_1)] = [2](zc^{1/2} \otimes c^{3/2})F(z) - [2](c^{1/2} \otimes c^{1/2})\Delta(y_1),$$

where

$$F(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} f_k z^{-k}, \;\; f_1 = \Delta(y_1)(1 \otimes c^{-1}),$$

obtained from the relation

$$[y_k, h_1] = [2]c^{1/2} y_{k+1}.$$

Then the relation (30) follows from (31) by using

$$\alpha \otimes \alpha \circ \tau \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ \alpha.$$

We now prove (33). By Proposition 1.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k \geq 0} \Delta(\psi_k)(zc^{1/2} \otimes c^{3/2})^{-k} \\
&\quad = \;\; (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{k \geq 0} \Delta([x_k, y_0]c^{-k/2})(zc^{1/2} \otimes c^{3/2})^{-k} + \Delta(K^{-1}) \\
&\quad = \;\; (q - q^{-1})[\sum_{k \geq 0} \Delta(x_k)(zc \otimes c^2)^{-k}, \Delta(y_0)] + \Delta(K^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

We calculate

$$[\sum_{k \geq 0} \Delta(x_k)(zc \otimes c^2)^{-k}, y_0 \otimes 1 + K^{-1} \otimes y_0],$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k \geq 0} \Delta(x_k)(zc \otimes c^2)^{-k} \\
&\quad = \;\; 1 \otimes X_0^+(c^2 z) + \sum_{n \geq 0} (-q(q - q^{-1})^2)^n X_0^+(cz)^{n+1} \otimes Y^+(q^2 cz)^n \Psi(c^{3/2} z).
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$[1 \otimes X_0^+(c^2 z), y_0 \otimes 1] = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&[\sum_{n\geq 0}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z), y_0\otimes 1]\\ &= \sum_{n\geq 0}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n(q-q^{-1})^{-1}q^n[n+1]\\ &\quad (q^{-2n}\Psi(c^{1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2cz)^n - K^{-1}X_0^+(cz)^n)\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z),\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1.5 (25). We also have

$$[1\otimes X_0^+(c^2z), K^{-1}\otimes y_0] = (q-q^{-1})^{-1}(K^{-1}\otimes\Psi(c^{3/2}z) - K^{-1}\otimes K^{-1}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&[X_0^+(cz)\otimes\Psi(c^{3/2}z), K^{-1}\otimes y_0]\\ &= q^2K^{-1}X_0^+(cz)\otimes\Big(q^2y_0\Psi(c^{3/2}z) - (q^2-q^{-2})Y_0^+(q^2cz)\Psi(c^{3/2}z)\Big)\\ &\quad -K^{-1}X_0^+(cz)\otimes y_0\Psi(c^{3/2}z)\\ &= -q^2(q^2-q^{-2})K^{-1}X_0^+(cz)\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)\Psi(c^{3/2}z),\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 1.5 (23) after applying β on it. We also used the fact that

$$Y_0^+(q^2cz) = y_0 + Y^+(q^2cz).$$

Finally, if we apply β on Lemma 1.5 (19), and then use it and Lemma 1.5 (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&[\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z), K^{-1}\otimes y_0]\\ &= \sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n q^{2n+2}K^{-1}X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\\ &\quad \Big(q^2y_0\Psi(c^{3/2}z) - (q^2-q^{-2})Y_0^+(q^2cz)\Psi(c^{3/2}z)\Big)\\ &\quad -\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n K^{-1}X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\\ &\quad \otimes\Big(q^{2n}Y^+(q^2cz)^n y_0 - (1-q^{2n})Y^+(q^2cz)^{n+1}\Big)\Psi(c^{3/2}z).\end{aligned}$$

Summing up, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}&[1\otimes X_0^+(c^2z) + \sum_{n\geq 0}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z),\\ &y_0\otimes 1 + K^{-1}\otimes y_0]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
= & \sum_{n\geq 0}(-1)^n(q-q^{-1})^{2n}(q-q^{-1})^{-1}[n+1] \\
& \quad \Psi(c^{1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2cz)^n\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
& -\sum_{n\geq 2}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n(q-q^{-1})^{-1}q^n[n+1] \\
& \quad K^{-1}X_0^+(cz)^n\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
& -\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n\Big(q^{2n+2}(q^2-q^{-2})-(1-q^{2n})\Big) \\
& \quad K^{-1}X_0^+(cz)^{n+1}\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^{n+1}\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
& -(q-q^{-1})^{-1}K^{-1}\otimes K^{-1} \\
= & (q-q^{-1})^{-1}\sum_{n\geq 0}(-1)^n(q-q^{-1})^{2n}[n+1] \\
& \quad \Psi(c^{1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2cz)^n\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
& -\sum_{n\geq 2}\bigg((-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n(q-q^{-1})^{-1}q^n[n+1] \\
& +(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^{n-1}\Big(q^{2n}(q^2-q^{-2})-(1-q^{2n-2})\Big)\bigg) \\
& \quad K^{-1}X_0^+(cz)^n\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
& -(q-q^{-1})^{-1}K^{-1}\otimes K^{-1} \\
= & (q-q^{-1})^{-1}\sum_{n\geq 0}(-1)^n(q-q^{-1})^{2n}[n+1] \\
& \quad \Psi(c^{1/2}z)X_0^+(q^2cz)^n\otimes Y^+(q^2cz)^n\Psi(c^{3/2}z) \\
& -(q-q^{-1})^{-1}K^{-1}\otimes K^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n(q-q^{-1})^{-1}q^n[n+1] \\
& \quad +(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^{n-1}\Big(q^{2n}(q^2-q^{-2})-(1-q^{2n-2})\Big) \\
& \quad = \quad (-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^{n-1}\Big(-q^{n+1}(q^{n+1}-q^{-n-1}) \\
& \qquad +q^{2n+2}-q^{2n-2}-1+q^{2n-2}\Big)=0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we have that

$$\Delta(K^{-1})=K^{-1}\otimes K^{-1},$$

so (33) is proved. The relation (34) follows by applying

$$\alpha\otimes\alpha\circ\tau\circ\Delta=\Delta\circ\alpha,$$

on (33).

The theorem is proved. $\square$

3 Powers of $X_0^+(z)$ and $Y_0^+(z)$

In this final section we first study powers of the generating functions, and then we find the formulas for the comultiplication of the generators in $\hat{U}_q$.

For any positive integer n we want to express the generating functions $X_0^+(z)$ and $Y_0^+(z)$ as

$$X_0^+(z)^n = \sum_{0\leq m_n\leq\cdots\leq m_1} f_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(z)x_{m_n}\cdots x_{m_1}, \tag{38}$$

$$Y_0^+(z)^n = \sum_{0\leq m_n\leq\cdots\leq m_1} g_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(z)y_{m_1}\cdots y_{m_n}, \tag{39}$$

where $f_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(z),\ g_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(z)\ \in \mathbb{C}(q)(z)$. Actually, we will find that

$$f_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(z) = g_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][z^{-1}].$$

It is clear, by (8) and (9), that the functions $f_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}$ and $g_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}$ exist, since if $k > l+1 > 0$, (8) can be written as

$$x_kx_l = q^2x_lx_k + q^2x_{k-1}x_{l+1} - x_{l+1}x_{k-1},$$

and if $k = l+1 > 0$, (8) simplifies to

$$x_{l+1}x_l = q^2x_lx_{l+1},$$

and (9) give similar rules for the y_i's.
So commuting x_k and x_l will always result in a finite sum of products x_ix_j with $0 \leq i \leq j$.

It is also clear that

$$f_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(z) = g_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(z),$$

since the formula (39) follows from applying the antiautomorphism β from Proposition 1.4 on (38).

Given an n-tuple $(m_n,\ldots,m_1)$, with $0 \leq m_n \leq \cdots \leq m_1$, we can define a j-tuple $(l_1,\ldots,l_j)$ as follows:
l_1 is the number of occurences of m_n in $(m_n,\ldots,m_1)$;
l_2 is the number of occurences of m_{n-l_1} in $(m_n,\ldots,m_1)$;
$\vdots$
l_j is the number of occurences of $m_{n-l_1-l_2-\cdots-l_{j-1}}$ in $(m_n,\ldots,m_1)$.
So $n = \sum_{i=1}^j l_i$.

Example. The 8-tuple $(1,1,2,2,3,5,5,5)$ defines the 4-tuple $(2,2,1,3)$.

In the proof of Theorem 3.2 we will need the following mappings.
Define, for $(m_k,\dots,m_1)$ as above, $c_{m_k,\dots,m_1}(q) = 1$ when $k=0$,

$$c_{m_1}(q) = 1,$$

and inductively,

$$c_{m_k,\dots,m_1}(q) = q^{k(k-1)m_k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k+j+1} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} q^{(k-j)(k-1)-j(j-1)m_k} c_{m_j,\dots,m_1}(q) \delta_{m_{j+1},m_k}.$$

Note that

$$c_{m_k-a,\dots,m_1-a}(q) = q^{-k(k-1)a} c_{m_k,\dots,m_1}(q), \tag{40}$$

(by induction) for $0 \le a \le m_k$.

Lemma 3.1. *We have that*

$$c_{m_n,\dots,m_1}(q) = \frac{[n]!}{[l_1]!\cdots[l_j]!} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2(i-1)m_i + \left(n(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{j} l_i(l_i-1)\right)/2}. \tag{41}$$

Proof. For the proof we need the well-known identity (cf [3], [11])

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n+k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{(n-k)(n-1)} = 0, \tag{42}$$

for $n > 0$.
We prove the lemma by induction over $n,\ n \ge 1$. By definition,

$$1 = c_{m_1}(q) = q^0 \frac{[1]!}{[1]!} q^0.$$

Suppose that the lemma is true for all k-tuples $(m_k,\dots,m_1),\ 0 \le m_k \le \cdots \le m_1$, with $1 \le k \le n-1$. Fix $(m_n,\dots,m_1)$ and the corresponding $(l_1,\dots,l_j)$. We have, by definition,

$$c_{m_n,\dots,m_1}(q) = q^{n(n-1)m_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+k+1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{(n-k)(n-1)-k(k-1)m_n} c_{m_k,\dots,m_1}(q) \delta_{m_{k+1},m_n}.$$

Let k be an integer such that $n - l_1 \le k \le n-1$. By the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{m_k,\dots,m_1}(q) &= \frac{[k]!}{[l_1-n+k]!\cdots[l_j]!} \\ &\quad \times q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} 2(i-1)m_i + \left(k(k-1) - (l_1-n+k)(l_1-n+k-1) - \sum_{i=2}^{j} l_i(l_i-1)\right)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

so we get that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(q) &= \sum_{k=n-l_1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+k+1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{[k]!}{[l_1-n+k]!\cdots[l_j]!} \\ &\quad \times q^{n(n-1)m_n+\sum_{i=1}^{k} 2(i-1)m_i-k(k-1)m_n} \\ &\quad \times q^{(n-k)(n-1)+\left(k(k-1)-(l_1-n+k)(l_1-n+k-1)-\sum_{i=2}^{j} l_i(l_i-1)\right)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We have that $m_{n-l_1+1} = \cdots = m_n$, so since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2(i-1)m_i - \sum_{i=1}^{k} 2(i-1)m_i &= 2\sum_{i=k+1}^{n}(i-1)m_i \\ &= 2m_n \sum_{i=k+1}^{n}(i-1) \\ &= 2m_n(n-k)\frac{(n-1)+k}{2} \\ &= m_n(n^2-k^2-n+k) \\ &= m_n n(n-1) - m_n k(k-1), \end{aligned}$$

we get that

$$n(n-1)m_n + \sum_{i=1}^{k} 2(i-1)m_i - k(k-1)m_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2(i-1)m_i.$$

We now consider the expression

$$(n-k)(n-1) + \Big(k(k-1) - (l_1-n+k)(l_1-n+k-1)\Big)/2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &(n-k)(n-1) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} - \frac{l_1(l_1-1-(n-k))}{2} + \frac{(n-k)(l_1-1-(n-k))}{2} \\ &\qquad = n(n-1) - k(n-1) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} - \frac{l_1(l_1-1)}{2} + l_1(n-k) \end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{n-k}{2} - \frac{(n-k)^2}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + (l_1-1)(n-k) - \frac{l_1(l_1-1)}{2}.$$

So, if we introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned} A &= q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2(i-1)m_i}, \\ B &= q^{\left(n(n-1)-\sum_{i=1}^{j} l_i(l_i-1)\right)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{m_n,\dots,m_1}(q) &= AB\frac{[n]!}{[l_1]!\cdots[l_j]!}\sum_{k=n-l_1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n+k+1}\frac{[l_1]!}{[n-k]![l_1-n+k]!}q^{(l_1-1)(n-k)} \\ &= AB\frac{[n]!}{[l_1]!\cdots[l_j]!}\sum_{r=0}^{l_1-1}(-1)^{l_1+r+1}\frac{[l_1]!}{[l_1-r]![r]!}q^{(l_1-1)(l_1-r)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (42), we finally get

$$c_{m_n,\dots,m_1}(q) = AB\frac{[n]!}{[l_1]!\cdots[l_j]!}.$$

The lemma is proved. □

We now state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.2. *For any integer $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} X_0^+(z)^n &= \sum_{0\leq m_n\leq\cdots\leq m_1} c_{m_n,\dots,m_1}(q)x_{m_n}\cdots x_{m_1}z^{-(m_1+\cdots+m_n)}, && (43) \\ Y_0^+(z)^n &= \sum_{0\leq m_n\leq\cdots\leq m_1} c_{m_n,\dots,m_1}(q)y_{m_1}\cdots y_{m_n}z^{-(m_1+\cdots+m_n)}, && (44) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_{m_n,\dots,m_1}(q) = \frac{[n]!}{[l_1]!\cdots[l_j]!}q^{\sum_{i=1}^n 2(i-1)m_i+\left(n(n-1)-\sum_{i=1}^j l_i(l_i-1)\right)/2}, \tag{45}$$

is in $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$.

We will need the following lemma before we can prove Theorem 3.2. The lemma tells us how the automorphism $S : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ from Proposition 1.3 acts on $X_0^+(z)^n$.

Lemma 3.3. *We have, for any $n \geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} S(X_0^+(z)^n) &= z^n\sum_{k=0}^n(-1)^k\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}q^{-(n-k)(n-1)}x_0^kX_0^+(z)^{n-k} && (46) \\ &= z^n\sum_{k=0}^n(-1)^{n-k}\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}q^{-k(n-1)}x_0^{n-k}X_0^+(z)^k. && (47) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only prove (46), since (47) follows from (46) by changing summation order.

For $n = 1$ we have that

$$S(X_0^+(z)) = z(X_0^+(z) - x_0),$$

so (46) is true in this case. Now suppose, by induction, that the lemma is true for some $n \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(X_0^+(z)^{n+1}) &= S(X_0^+(z)^n)S(X_0^+(z)) \\ &= z^{n+1}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{-(n-k)(n-1)} x_0^k X_0^+(z)^{n-k+1} \\ &\quad -\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{-(n-k)(n-1)} \Big(q^{-2(n-k)} x_0^{k+1} X_0^+(z)^{n-k} \\ &\quad +(1-q^{-2(n-k)}) x_0^k X_0^+(z)^{n-k+1}\Big)\Big), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 1.5 (19). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &S(X_0^+(z)^{n+1}) \\ &= z^{n+1}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k+1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{-(n-k)(n-1)} q^{-2(n-k)} x_0^{k+1} X_0^+(z)^{n-k} \\ &\quad +\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{-(n-k)(n-1)} q^{-2(n-k)} x_0^k X_0^+(z)^{n-k+1}\Big) \\ &= z^{n+1}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}(-1)^k \Big(q^{k-(n+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} + q^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}\Big) q^{-(n+1-k)n} x_0^k X_0^+(z)^{n+1-k}\Big) \\ &= z^{n+1}\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}(-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{-(n+1-k)n} x_0^k X_0^+(z)^{n+1-k}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. □

We can now prove Theorem 3.2.

Proof (of Theorem 3.2). It is enough to prove (43), since (44) follows from (43) by applying the antiautomorphism β from Proposition 1.4.

Let n be a positive integer. Write

$$X_0^+(z)^n = \sum_{0 \leq m_n \leq \cdots \leq m_1} f_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(z) x_{m_n} \cdots x_{m_1}.$$

for some $f_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(z) \in \mathbb{C}(q)(z)$. We will prove that

$$f_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(z) = c_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(q) z^{-(m_1+\cdots+m_n)}. \tag{48}$$

for $c_{m_n,\dots,m_1}(q)$ as in (41). For $n = 1$ it is clear that (48) is true. Now suppose that $n > 1$, and that (48) is true for all k such that $1 \leq k < n$. By Lemma 3.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} S(X_0^+(z)^n) &= \sum_{1\leq m_n\leq\cdots\leq m_1} f_{m_n-1,\dots,m_1-1}(z)x_{m_n}\cdots x_{m_1} \\ &= z^n\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^k\begin{bmatrix} n\\ k\end{bmatrix} q^{-(n-k)(n-1)}\sum_{0\leq s_{n-k}\leq\cdots\leq s_1} f_{s_{n-k},\dots,s_1}(z)x_0^k x_{s_{n-k}}\cdots x_{s_1}. \qquad (49)\end{aligned}$$

Now fix $(m_n,\dots,m_1)$ such that $1\leq m_n\leq\cdots\leq m_1$. By PBW for Quantum Affine algebras (see [1]), and by (49), we have that

$$f_{m_n-1,\dots,m_1-1}(z) = z^n q^{-n(n-1)} f_{m_n,\dots,m_1}(z).$$

It follows that

$$f_{m_n,\dots,m_1}(z) = z^{-m_n n}q^{n(n-1)m_n} f_{0,m_{n-1}-m_n,\dots,m_1-m_n}(z). \qquad (50)$$

We now consider the terms where $x_{m_n} = x_0$ in (49). Extracting coefficients gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;=\; & \text{coeff. of } x_0x_{m_{n-1}}\cdots x_{m_1} \text{ in}\Bigg(\begin{bmatrix} n\\ 0\end{bmatrix} q^{-n(n-1)}\sum_{0\leq s_n\leq\cdots\leq s_1} f_{s_n,\dots,s_1}(z)x_{s_n}\cdots x_{s_1} \\ & -\begin{bmatrix} n\\ 1\end{bmatrix} q^{-(n-1)(n-1)}\sum_{0\leq s_{n-1}\leq\cdots\leq s_1} f_{s_{n-1},\dots,s_1}(z)x_0x_{s_{n-1}}\cdots x_{s_1} \\ & +\sum_{k=2}^{n}(-1)^k\begin{bmatrix} n\\ k\end{bmatrix} q^{-(n-k)(n-1)}\sum_{0\leq s_{n-k}\leq\cdots\leq s_1} f_{s_{n-k},\dots,s_1}(z)x_0^k x_{s_{n-k}}\cdots x_{s_1}\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

When $m_{n-1}\geq 1$, this gives

$$q^{-n(n-1)}f_{0,m_{n-1},\dots,m_1}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} n\\ 1\end{bmatrix} q^{-(n-1)(n-1)}f_{m_{n-1},\dots,m_1}(z),$$

and when $m_{n-1}=0,\ m_{n-2}\geq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{-n(n-1)}f_{0,0,m_{n-2},\dots,m_1}(z) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n\\ 1\end{bmatrix} q^{-(n-1)(n-1)}f_{0,m_{n-2},\dots,m_1}(z) - \begin{bmatrix} n\\ 2\end{bmatrix} q^{-(n-2)(n-1)}f_{m_{n-2},\dots,m_1}(z).\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that in general we have,

$$q^{-n(n-1)}f_{0,m_{n-1},\dots,m_1}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k+1}\begin{bmatrix} n\\ k\end{bmatrix} q^{-(n-k)(n-1)}f_{m_{n-k},\dots,m_1}(z)\delta_{m_{n-k+1},0}.$$

So, (50) gives, by induction, and by (40), that

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(z)\\
&= q^{n(n-1)m_n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n+1+k}\begin{bmatrix} n\\ k\end{bmatrix} q^{(n-k)(n-1)}c_{m_k-m_n,\ldots,m_1-m_n}(q)\delta_{m_{k+1},m_n}z^{-(m_1+\cdots+m_n)}\\
&= q^{n(n-1)m_n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n+1+k}\begin{bmatrix} n\\ k\end{bmatrix} q^{(n-k)(n-1)-k(k-1)m_n}c_{m_k,\ldots,m_1}(q)\delta_{m_{k+1},m_n}z^{-(m_1+\cdots+m_n)}\\
&= c_{m_n,\ldots,m_1}(q)z^{-(m_1+\cdots+m_n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have proved the theorem. □

We now want to find the explicit action of the comultiplication on the generators of $\hat{U}_q$. For this we define, for $n \geq 1,\ m \geq 0$, the sets

$$\Omega_{n,m} = \{(m_n,\ldots,m_1)\in\mathbb{Z}^n; 0\leq m_n\leq\cdots\leq m_1,\ \sum_{i=1}^n m_i = m\},$$

and

$$\Omega_{n,m}^+ = \{(m_n,\ldots,m_1)\in\mathbb{Z}^n; 1\leq m_n\leq\cdots\leq m_1,\ \sum_{i=1}^n m_i = m\}.$$

Then we have, by Theorem 3.2 and (40),

$$\begin{aligned}
X_0^+(z)^n &= \sum_{m\geq 0}\sum_{(a_n,\ldots,a_1)\in\Omega_{n,m}} c_{a_n,\ldots,a_1}(q)x_{a_n}\cdots x_{a_1}z^{-m},\\
Y^+(z)^n &= z^{-n}T(Y_0^+(z)^n)\\
&= \sum_{m\geq n}\sum_{(b_n,\ldots,b_1)\in\Omega_{n,m}^+} c_{b_n,\ldots,b_1}(q)q^{-n(n-1)}y_{b_1}\cdots y_{b_n}z^{-m},
\end{aligned}$$

so that, by Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k\geq 0}\Delta(x_k)(zc\otimes c^2)^{-k}\\
&= 1\otimes\sum_{k\geq 0}x_kc^{-2k}z^{-k}+\sum_{k\geq 0}x_kc^{-k}z^{-k}\otimes\sum_{l\geq 0}\psi_lc^{-3l/2}z^{-l}\\
&\quad+\sum_{n\geq 1}(-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n\Big(\sum_{m\geq 0}\sum_{(a_{n+1},\ldots,a_1)\in\Omega_{n+1,m}} c_{a_{n+1},\ldots,a_1}(q)x_{a_{n+1}}\cdots x_{a_1}c^{-m}z^{-m}\Big)\\
&\quad\otimes\Big(\sum_{m\geq n}\sum_{(b_n,\ldots,b_1)\in\Omega_{n,m}^+} c_{b_n,\ldots,b_1}(q)q^{-n(n-1)}y_{b_1}\cdots y_{b_n}q^{-2m}c^{-m}z^{-m}\Big)\\
&\quad\times\Big(\sum_{m\geq 0}\psi_mc^{-3m/2}z^{-m}\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{51}$$

By identifying coefficients of z^{-N}, $N \geq 0$, in (51), and using the convention that summation over an empty set is zero, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(x_N) \quad = \quad & c^N \otimes x_N + \sum_{k=0}^{N} c^{N-k} x_k \otimes c^{(N+3k)/2} \psi_{N-k} \\
& + \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n q^{-n(n-1)} \\
& \times \sum_{m=0}^{N} \Big(\sum_{(a_{n+1},\dots,a_1)\in\Omega_{n+1,m}} c_{a_{n+1},\dots,a_1}(q) c^{N-m} x_{a_{n+1}} \cdots x_{a_1} \\
& \otimes \sum_{k=0}^{N-m} \sum_{(b_n,\dots,b_1)\in\Omega^+_{n,N-m-k}} c_{b_n,\dots,b_1}(q) q^{-2(N-m-k)} c^{N+m-k/2} y_{b_1} \cdots y_{b_n} \psi_k \Big).
\end{aligned}$$

With similar reasoning we can find the comultiplication of the other generators. We summarize the result in the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *For every integer $N \geq 1$, the comultiplication satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(x_N) = {} & c^N \otimes x_N + \sum_{k=0}^{N} c^{N-k} x_k \otimes c^{(N+3k)/2} \psi_{N-k} \\
& + \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n q^{-n(n-1)} \\
& \qquad \times \sum_{m=0}^{N} \Big(\sum_{(a_{n+1},\dots,a_1)\in\Omega_{n+1,m}} c_{a_{n+1},\dots,a_1}(q) c^{N-m} x_{a_{n+1}} \cdots x_{a_1} \\
& \qquad \otimes \sum_{k=0}^{N-m} \sum_{(b_n,\dots,b_1)\in\Omega^+_{n,N-m-k}} c_{b_n,\dots,b_1}(q) q^{-2(N-m-k)} c^{N+m-k/2} y_{b_1} \cdots y_{b_n} \psi_k \Big);
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(x_{-N}) = {} & c^{-N} \otimes x_{-N} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} c^{-(N-k)} x_{-k} \otimes c^{(N-k)/2} \phi_{-(N-k)} \\
& + \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-q(q-q^{-1})^2)^n q^{n(n+1)} \\
& \qquad \times \sum_{m=0}^{N} \Big(\sum_{(a_{n+1},\dots,a_1)\in\Omega^+_{n+1,m}} c_{a_{n+1},\dots,a_1}(q^{-1}) c^{-(N-m)} x_{-a_{n+1}} \cdots x_{-a_1} \\
& \otimes \sum_{k=0}^{N-m} \sum_{(b_n,\dots,b_1)\in\Omega_{n,N-m-k}} c_{b_n,\dots,b_1}(q^{-1}) q^{2(N-m-k)} c^{N-m-k/2} y_{-b_1} \cdots y_{-b_n} \phi_{-k} \Big);
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(y_N) &= y_N \otimes c^N + \sum_{k=1}^{N} c^{-(N-k)/2} \psi_{N-k} \otimes c^{N-k} y_k \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-q^{-1}(q-q^{-1})^2)^n q^{-n(n+1)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{m=0}^{N} \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{N-m} \sum_{(a_n,\ldots,a_1)\in\Omega_{n,N-m-k}} c_{a_n,\ldots,a_1}(q) q^{-2(N-m-k)} c^{-(N-m-k/2)} \phi_k x_{a_n} \cdots x_{a_1} \\
&\qquad\qquad \otimes \sum_{(b_{n+1},\ldots,b_1)\in\Omega^+_{n+1,m}} c_{b_n,\ldots,b_1}(q) c^{N-m} y_{b_1} \cdots y_{b_{n+1}} \Big);
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(y_{-N}) &= y_{-N} \otimes c^{-N} + \sum_{k=0}^{N} c^{-(N+3k)/2} \phi_{-(N-k)} \otimes c^{-(N-k)} y_{-k} \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-q^{-1}(q-q^{-1})^2)^n q^{n(n-1)} \\
&\times \sum_{m=0}^{N} \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{N-m} \sum_{(a_n,\ldots,a_1)\in\Omega^+_{n,N-m-k}} c_{a_n,\ldots,a_1}(q^{-1}) q^{2(N-m-k)} c^{-(N+m-k/2)} \phi_{-k} x_{-a_n} \cdots x_{-a_1} \\
&\qquad \otimes \sum_{(b_{n+1},\ldots,b_1)\in\Omega_{n+1,m}} c_{b_{n+1},\ldots,b_1}(q^{-1}) c^{-(N-m)} y_{-b_1} \cdots y_{-b_{n+1}} \Big);
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\psi_N) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N} c^{(N-k)/2} \psi_k \otimes c^{3k/2} \psi_{N-k} \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-1)^n (q-q^{-1})^{2n} [n+1] q^{-n(n-1)} \\
&\qquad \times \sum_{m=0}^{N} \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{(a_n,\ldots,a_1)\in\Omega_{n,m-k}} c_{a_n,\ldots,a_1}(q) q^{-2(m-k)} c^{N/2-m+k/2} \psi_k x_{a_n} \cdots x_{a_1} \\
&\qquad \otimes \sum_{l=0}^{N-m} \sum_{(b_n,\ldots,b_1)\in\Omega^+_{n,N-m-l}} c_{b_n,\ldots,b_1}(q) q^{-2(N-m-l)} c^{N/2+m-l/2} y_{b_1} \cdots y_{b_n} \psi_l \Big);
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\phi_{-N}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N} c^{-3k/2} \phi_{-(N-k)} \otimes c^{-(N-k)/2} \phi_{-k} \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-1)^n (q-q^{-1})^{2n} [n+1] q^{n(n-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\times \sum_{m=0}^{N} \Big(\sum_{l=0}^{N-m} \sum_{(a_n,\ldots,a_1)\in\Omega^+_{n,N-m-l}} c_{a_n,\ldots,a_1}(q^{-1}) q^{2(N-m-l)} c^{-(N/2+m-l/2)} \phi_{-l} x_{-a_n} \cdots x_{-a_1}$$

$$\otimes \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{(b_n,\ldots,b_1)\in\Omega_{n,m-k}} c_{b_n,\ldots,b_1}(q^{-1}) q^{2(m-k)} c^{-(N/2-m+k/2)} y_{-b_1} \cdots y_{-b_n} \phi_{-k} \Big),$$

where empty sums are zero.

References

[1] J. Beck, Braid Group Action and Quantum Affine Algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **165** (1994), 555-568.

[2] V. Chari, Minimal Affinizations of Representations of Quantum Groups: the Rank 2 Case, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **31** (1995), 873-911.

[3] V. Chari and A. Pressley, A Guide to Quantum Groups, *Cambridge University Press* (1994), Cambridge

[4] V. Chari and A. Pressley, Quantum Affine Algebras at Roots of Unity, *preprint*, q-alg/9609031.

[5] J. Ding and I. B. Frenkel, Isomorphism of two realizations of Quantum Affine Algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}(n))$. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **156** (1993), 277-300.

[6] J. Ding and K. Iohara, Drinfeld Comultiplication and Vertex Operators, *J. Geom. Phys.* **23**, (1997), 1-13.

[7] V.G. Drinfeld, Hopf Algebras and the Quantum Yang-Baxter equation, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **32** (1985), 254-258.

[8] V.G. Drinfeld, A new realization of Yangians and Quantized Affine Algebras, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **36**, (1988), 212-216.

[9] M. Jimbo, A q-difference analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **10**, (1985), 63-69.

[10] N. Jing, Higher Level Representations of the Quantum Affine Algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$. *J. Algebra* **182**, (1996), 448-468.

[11] G. Lusztig, Introduction to Quantum Groups, *Progress in Mathematics* **110** (1993), Birkhäuser, Boston,

[12] J. Thorén, On the structure of Yangians, *preprint*, 1997:6, Lund University.

Mathematics (Faculty of Science),
Centre for Mathematical Sciences,
Lund University,
Box 118,
221 00 LUND, Sweden.
email: **thoren@maths.lth.se**